arXiv:math/0012012v1 [math.QA] 3 Dec 2000

ISOMORPHISM CLASSES AND AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF ALGEBRAS OF WEYL TYPE[1]

Yucai Su[†][2] and Kaiming Zhao[‡]

[†]Department of Applied Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200030, P. R. China

[‡]Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and systems Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P. R. China

Abstract In one of our recent papers, the associative and the Lie algebras of Weyl type $A[D]=A\otimes\mathbb{F}[D]$ were defined and studied, where A is a commutative associative algebra with an identity element over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of any characteristic, and $\mathbb{F}[D]$ is the polynomial algebra of a commutative derivation subalgebra D of A. In the present paper, a class of the above associative and Lie algebras $A[D]$ with $\mathbb{F}$ being a field of characteristic 0, D consisting of locally finite but not locally nilpotent derivations of A, are studied. The isomorphism classes and automorphism groups of these associative and Lie algebras are determined.

Keywords: Simple Lie algebra, simple associative algebra, derivation, isomorphism class, automorphism group.

The structure theory and the representation theory are two of the most important topics in the theory of Lie algebras. The four well-known series of infinite dimensional simple Lie algebras of Cartan type have played important roles in the theory of Lie algebras. Generalizations of the simple Lie algebras of Cartan type over a field of characteristic zero have been obtained by Kawamoto [1], Osborn [2], Dokovic and Zhao [3,4,5], Osborn and Zhao [6,7] and Zhao [8]. Passman [9], Jordan [10] studied the Lie algebras $AD=A\otimes D$ of generalized Witt type constructed from the pair of a commutative associative algebra A with an identity element and its commutative derivation subalgebra D over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of arbitrary characteristic. Passman proved that AD is simple if and only if A is D-simple and AD acts faithfully on A except when $\dim D=1$ and $\mathrm{char}\mathbb{F}=2$. Xu [11] studied some of these simple Lie algebras of generalized Witt type and other generalized Cartan types Lie algebras, based on the pairs of the tensor algebra of the group algebra of an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^n$ with the polynomial algebra in several variables and the subalgebra of commuting locally finite derivations. Su, Xu and Zhang [12] gave the structure spaces of the generalized simple Lie algebras of Witt type constructed in [11]. We[3] determined the second cohomology group and gave some representations of the Lie algebras of generalized Witt type which are some Lie algebras defined by Passman, more general than those defined by Dokovic and Zhao, and slightly more general than those defined by Xu.

---

[1] AMS Subject Classification - Primary: 17B20, 17B65, 17B67, 17B68.

This work is supported by NSF of China and a Fund from National Education Department of China.

[2] This author was partially supported by Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences during his visit to this academy.

[3] Su Y, Zhao K. Second cohomology group of generalized Witt type Lie algebras and certain representations. Submitted for publication.

In one of our recent papers [13], we defined the associative and the Lie algebras of Weyl type $A[D] = A \otimes \mathbb{F}[D]$, where A is a commutative associative algebra with an identity element over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of any characteristic, and $\mathbb{F}[D]$ is the polynomial algebra of a commutative derivation subalgebra D of A. and we gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for them to be simple. More precisely, the associative algebras or Lie algebras $A[D]$ (modular its center, as Lie algebra) are simple if and only if A is D-simple and $A[D]$ acts faithfully on A.

In the present paper, we study a class of associative and Lie algebras of the above type $A[D]$ with $\mathbb{F}$ being a field of characteristic 0, D consisting of locally finite but not locally nilpotent derivations of A. The isomorphism classes and automorphism groups of these associative and Lie algebras are determined. The automorphism groups and derivations of these algebras for a special case were obtained in [14] and [15].

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field of characteristic zero.

1 Definitions and preliminary results

In this paper we focus on the (associative and Lie) algebras $A[D] = A \otimes \mathbb{F}[D]$ defined in [13], where A is a commutative associative algebra with an identity element 1 over $\mathbb{F}$ and D is a nonzero finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-vector space of locally finite but not locally nilpotent commuting $\mathbb{F}$-derivations of A such that A is D-simple. From [12] we know that the pairs (A, D) satisfying those conditions are essentially those constructed as follows.

Let ℓ_1, ℓ_2 be two nonnegative integers such that $\ell = \ell_1 + \ell_2 > 0$. For any integers m, n, denote $\overline{m, n} = \{m, \cdots, n\}$. Take any nondegenerate additive subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{F}^\ell$, i.e., Γ contains an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $\mathbb{F}^\ell$. Elements in Γ will be written as $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_\ell)$. Set

$$J_1 = \mathbb{Z}_+^{\ell_1} \times \{0\}^{\ell_2}, \quad J_2 = \{0\}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{\ell_2}, \quad J = \mathbb{Z}_+^{\ell}. \tag{1}$$

Elements in J will be written as $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_\ell)$. But sometimes elements in J_1 will also be written as $\vec{i} = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_\ell)$. Denote

$$|\mu| = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \mu_p, \quad \binom{\mu}{\nu} = \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} \binom{\mu_p}{\nu_p}, \quad i_{[p]} = (0, \cdots, \overset{p}{i}, 0, \cdots, 0), \tag{2}$$

for $\mu, \nu \in J, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, p \in \overline{1, \ell}$ (note that $\binom{i}{j} = 0$ if $i < j$). The value $|\mu|$ is called the *level* of μ. Define a total ordering on J by

$$\mu < \nu \Leftrightarrow |\mu| < |\nu| \text{ or } |\mu| = |\nu| \text{ but } \exists\, p \in \overline{1, \ell} \text{ with } \mu_p < \nu_p \text{ and } \mu_q = \nu_q, q < p. \tag{3}$$

Let $(A, \cdot)$ be the semi-group algebra $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma \times J_1]$ with the basis $\{x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} \,|\, (\alpha, \vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times J_1\}$, and subject to the algebraic operation

$$x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} x^{\beta, \vec{j}} = x^{\alpha+\beta, \vec{i}+\vec{j}}, \quad \forall\, (\alpha, \vec{i}), (\beta, \vec{j}) \in \Gamma \times J_1. \tag{4}$$

Denote the identity element $x^{0,0}$ by 1, and denote $x^\alpha = x^{\alpha, \vec{0}}$, $x^{\vec{i}} = x^{0, \vec{i}}$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\vec{i} \in J_1$. Define the linear transformations (derivations) $\{\partial_1^-, \cdots, \partial_{\ell_1}^-, \partial_1^+, \cdots, \partial_\ell^+, \partial_1, \cdots, \partial_\ell\}$ on A by

$$\partial_p^-(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}}) = i_p x^{\alpha, \vec{i} - 1_{[p]}}, \quad \partial_q^+(x^{\alpha, \vec{i}}) = \alpha_q x^{\alpha, \vec{i}}, \quad \partial_p = \partial_p^- + \partial_p^+, \quad \partial_r = \partial_r^+, \tag{5}$$

for all $p \in \overline{1, \ell_1}$, $q \in \overline{1, \ell}, r \in \overline{\ell_1 + 1, \ell}$. The operators ∂_p^- are called *down-grading operators*, and ∂_q^+ are *grading operators*. Note that ∂_p is locally finite if $p \in \overline{1, \ell_1}$, semi-simple if $p \in \overline{\ell_1 + 1, \ell}$. Set $D = \mathrm{span}\{\partial_p \mid p \in \overline{1, \ell}\}$. Then we obtain the pair (A, D) where D is a nonzero finite dimensional $I\!\!F$-vector space of locally finite but not locally nilpotent commuting $I\!\!F$-derivations of A such that A is D-simple. Clearly, $\{a \in A \mid D(a) = 0\} = I\!\!F$.

Denote

$$D_1 = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell_1} I\!\!F \partial_p, \quad D_2 = \sum_{q=\ell_1+1}^{\ell} I\!\!F \partial_q. \tag{6}$$

For any $\partial = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} a_p \partial_p \in D$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_\ell) \in \Gamma$, define

$$\langle \alpha, \partial \rangle = \langle \partial, \alpha \rangle = \alpha(\partial) = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} a_p \alpha_p. \tag{7}$$

Since Γ is a nondegenerate subgroup of $I\!\!F^\ell$, there exist $I\!\!F$-basis $\alpha^{(1)}, \cdots, \alpha^{(\ell)} \in \Gamma$ of $I\!\!F^\ell$, and the dual basis $d_1, \cdots, d_\ell$ of D with respect to (7) such that $\langle \alpha^{(p)}, d_q \rangle = \delta_{p,q}$ for $p, q \in \overline{1, \ell}$.

Throughout the paper, we shall fix $\alpha^{(p)}$ and d_p, $p \in \overline{1, \ell}$.

Denote by $I\!\!F[D]$ the polynomial algebra of D. Then $I\!\!F[D]$ has a basis

$$B = \{\partial^\mu = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial_i^{\mu_i} \mid \mu \in J\} \text{ or } B_1 = \{d^\mu = \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} d_p^{\mu_p} \mid \mu \in J\}. \tag{8}$$

The $I\!\!F$-vector space

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \Gamma) = A[D] = A \otimes I\!\!F[D] = \mathrm{span}\{x^{\alpha, \vec{i}} \partial^\mu \mid (\alpha, \vec{i}, \mu) \in \Gamma \times J_1 \times J\}, \tag{9}$$

forms an associative algebra with the following product, which is called *an associative algebra of Weyl type*,

$$u\partial^\mu \cdot v\partial^\nu = \sum_{\lambda \in J} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} u \partial^\lambda(v) \partial^{\mu+\nu-\lambda}, \tag{10}$$

for all $u, v \in A$, $\mu, \nu \in J$, where, by notation (2), there are only finite number of nonzero terms in (10), and where, $\partial^\mu(u) = \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} \partial_p^{\mu_p}(u)$. The induced Lie algebra from the above associative algebra is called a *Lie algebra of Weyl type*, the bracket is

$$[u\partial^\mu, v\partial^\nu] = u\partial^\mu \cdot v\partial^\nu - v\partial^\nu \cdot u\partial^\mu,$$

for all $u, v \in A$, $\mu, \nu \in J$. Then $\mathcal{W}$ acts naturally on A by $a\partial^\mu : x \mapsto a\partial^\mu(x)$, it gives rise to an (associative and Lie) homomorphism

$$\theta : \mathcal{W} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{I\!\!F}(A, A). \tag{11}$$

Obviously $I\!\!F$ is contained in the center of $\mathcal{W}$.

Theorem 1.1 The associative algebra $\mathcal{W}$ and the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{W}/\mathbb{F}, [\cdot,\cdot])$ are simple.

Proof. By [13, Theorem 1.1], it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{F}[D]$ acts faithfully on A. Suppose $u = \sum_{\mu\in J} c_\mu d^\mu \in \mathbb{F}[D]$ acts trivially on A, where $c_\mu \in \mathbb{F}$, and $N_0 = \{\mu \in J \,|\, c_\mu \neq 0\}$ is finite. Take $\alpha = \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} n_q \alpha^{(q)} \in \Gamma$, where $n_q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are arbitrary. By definition (5), we have

$$0 = u(x^\alpha) = \sum_{\mu\in N_0} c_\mu \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} n_q^{\mu_q} x^\alpha. \tag{12}$$

Thus the coefficient of x^α is zero. But $n_q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are arbitrary, this proves that all $c_\mu = 0$, so, $u = 0$, i.e., $\mathbb{F}[D]$ acts faithfully on A. ∎

For any monomial $u = x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\partial^\mu$, we call α the *degree of* u *with respect to* Γ, $\vec{i}$ the *degree of* u *with respect to* J_1, μ the *degree of* u *with respect to* ∂ and $|\mu|$ the *level of* u *with respect to* ∂. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{u \in \mathcal{W} \mid ad(u) \text{ is locally finite on } \mathcal{W}\},$$
$$\mathcal{N} = \{u \in \mathcal{W} \mid ad(u) \text{ is locally nilpotent on } \mathcal{W}\}.$$

Choose a total ordering on Γ compatible with its group structure. For any basis $\{\partial'_1, \cdots, \partial'_\ell\}$ of D and any $\alpha \in \Gamma, \vec{i} \in J_1, \mu \in J$, set

$$\begin{aligned} A[D]_\alpha &= \text{span}\{x^{\tau,\vec{m}}\partial'^\nu \mid \tau < \alpha\},\\ A[D]_{\vec{i}} &= \text{span}\{x^{\tau,\vec{m}}\partial'^\nu \mid \vec{m} < \vec{i}\},\\ A[D]_{|\mu|} &= \text{span}\{x^{\tau,\vec{m}}\partial'^\nu \mid |\nu| < |\mu|\}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From (10) it follows that that

$$\begin{aligned} &[A[D]_\alpha, A[D]_\tau] \subseteq A[D]_{\alpha+\tau},\\ &[\partial'^\mu, x^{\vec{i}}] \in A[D]_{\vec{i}},\\ &[A[D]_{|\mu|}, A[D]_{|\nu|}] \subseteq A[D]_{|\mu|+|\nu|-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The following lemma is crucial in obtaining our main results in Sect.2.

Lemma 1.2 (a) $\mathcal{F} = D + A$, (b) $\mathcal{N} = A$.

Proof. (a) Suppose $u\in\mathcal{F}\backslash(D+A)$. Decompose u according to the level with respect to d,

$$u = \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_i, \quad u_i = \sum_{\mu\in J, |\mu|=i} a_\mu d^\mu, a_\mu \in A, \tag{15}$$

where $n > 0$ is the highest level of terms in u, so $u_n \neq 0$. Note that, if $n = 1$, we must have $u_n \notin D$. Write

$$u_n = \sum_{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in M_0} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} u_n^{(\alpha,\vec{i})} \text{ with } u_n^{(\alpha,\vec{i})} \in \mathbb{F}[D]. \tag{16}$$

So $M_0=\{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in\Gamma\times J_1 \,|\, u_n^{(\alpha,\vec{i})}\neq 0\}$ is finite. Let $\Gamma_0 = \{\alpha \,|\, \exists \vec{i}\in J_1,\ (\alpha,\vec{i})\in M_0\}$. Let β be the maximal element in Γ_0. If $\Gamma_0 \neq \{0\}$, by reversing the ordering if necessary, we can suppose $\beta > 0$. Set $\vec{j} = \max\{\vec{i}\in J_1 \,|\, (\beta,\vec{i})\in M_0\}$.

Case 1: $\beta = 0$.

In this case we see that $u_n \in \mathrm{span}\{x^{\vec{i}}\partial^{\mu} \,|\, \vec{i}\in J_1, \mu\in J\}$. Write

$$u_n^{(\beta,\vec{j})} = \sum_{\mu\in J, |\mu|=n} c_\mu d^{\mu},\ \ c_\mu \in I\!\!F. \tag{17}$$

Let $\lambda = \max\{\mu\in J \,|\, |\mu| = n, c_\mu\neq 0\}$. Suppose $\lambda_k\neq 0$ and $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i > k$. By induction on s it is easy to see that the highest term of $(\mathrm{ad}u)^s x^{\alpha^{(k)}}$ is $\lambda_k^s c_\lambda^s x^{\alpha^{(k)},s\vec{j}} d^{s(\lambda-1_{[k]})}\neq 0$. Thus

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}(\mathrm{ad}u)^s x^{\alpha^{(k)}} = \infty.$$

this contradicts the fact that $u\in\mathcal{F}$. So Case 1 does not occur.

Case 2: $\beta\neq 0$.

Choose a basis $\beta^{(1)},\cdots,\beta^{(\ell)} = \beta$ of $I\!\!F^{\ell}$ in Γ, and choose $\{\partial'_1,\cdots,\partial'_\ell\}$ to be the dual basis. Write $u_n^{(\beta,\vec{j})} = \sum_{\mu\in J,|\mu|=n} c_\mu \partial'^{\mu}$, $c_\mu\in I\!\!F$. If for all $\mu\in\{\mu\in J \,|\, |\mu| = n, c_\mu\neq 0\}$, we have $\mu_\ell = 0$, then we use the argument in Case 1 to conclude also a contradiction. So there is a $\mu\in\{\mu\in J \,|\, |\mu| = n, c_\mu\neq 0\}$ with $\mu_\ell\neq 0$. Let $\lambda = \max\{\mu\in J \,|\, |\mu| = n, \mu_\ell\neq 0, c_\mu\neq 0\}$. It is clear that the highest term of $(\mathrm{ad}u)^i x^{2\beta}$ is

$$\beta_\ell^i c_\lambda^i (2\lambda_\ell)(2\lambda_\ell+1)\cdots(2\lambda_\ell+i-1)x^{(i+2)\beta,i\vec{j}}\partial'^{i(\lambda-1_{[\ell]})}\neq 0.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(\mathrm{ad}u)^i x^{2\beta} = \infty.$$

This contradicts the fact that $u\in\mathcal{F}$. So Case 2 does not occur.

Therefore $\mathcal{F}\subset D+A$.

For any $\partial+u\in D+A$, and any xd^{μ} with $x\in I\!\!F[\Gamma\times J_1]$. Let

$$U = \mathrm{span}\{\partial^m(x)(\partial^{n_1}d^{\beta_1})(u)(\partial^{n_2}d^{\beta_2})(u)\cdots(\partial^{n_k}d^{\beta_k})(u)d^{\mu-\beta_1-\beta_2-\cdots-\beta_k}$$
$$\ |\ m,n_1,\cdots n_k\in Z\!\!\!Z_+, \mu-\beta_1-\beta_2-\cdots-\beta_k\geq 0\}.$$

Since the action of D on A is locally finite, it is easy to verify that $\dim U<\infty$ and $\mathrm{ad}(\partial+u))^i(xd^{\mu})\in U$. So $\partial+u\in\mathcal{F}$, i.e., $D+A\subset\mathcal{F}$. Thus (a) follows.

(b) For any $\partial+u\in D+A$ with $\partial\neq 0$, choose $\alpha\in\Gamma$ such that $\partial(\alpha)\neq 0$. From $(\mathrm{ad}(\partial+u))^i x^{\alpha} = \partial(\alpha)^i x^{\alpha}\neq 0$ we see that $\partial+u\notin\mathcal{N}$. On the other hand, for any $u\in A$, and any $xd^{\mu}\in\mathcal{W}$, from (14) it follows that $(\mathrm{ad}u)^{|\mu|+1}(xd^{\mu}) = 0$. Thus (b) follows. ∎

2 Isomorphism classes and automorphism groups

Now we are ready to prove the isomorphism theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \Gamma)$, $\mathcal{W}' = \mathcal{W}(\ell'_1, \ell'_2, \Gamma')$ be two (associative or Lie) algebras of Weyl type. Then there exists an (associative or Lie) isomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{W} \cong \mathcal{W}'$ if and only if there exist a group isomorphism $\tau : \Gamma \cong \Gamma'$ and a space linear isomorphism $\phi : D \to D'$ such that $\phi(D_2) = D'_2$ and

$$(\ell_1, \ell_2) = (\ell'_1, \ell'_2), \quad \langle \alpha, \partial \rangle = \langle \tau(\alpha), \phi(\partial) \rangle, \ \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma, \, \partial \in D. \tag{18}$$

Proof. We shall use the same notation but with a prime to denote elements associated with $\mathcal{W}'$.

"$\Leftarrow$": By assumption, there exists a nondegenerate $\ell \times \ell$ matrix $G = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} M & 0 \\ P & Q \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in GL_\ell(\mathbb{F})$, where $M \in GL_{\ell_1}(\mathbb{F}), Q \in GL_{\ell_2}(\mathbb{F})$, such that

$$\phi(\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_\ell) = (\partial'_1, \cdots, \partial'_\ell)G. \tag{19}$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_\ell) \in \Gamma$, since $\alpha_p = \langle \alpha, \partial_p \rangle = \langle \tau(\alpha), \phi(\partial_p) \rangle, p \in \overline{1, \ell}$, we then have

$$\tau(\alpha) = \alpha' = (\alpha'_1, \cdots, \alpha'_\ell) = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_\ell)G^{-1} = \alpha G^{-1}. \tag{20}$$

Define a linear map:

$$\begin{gathered} \sigma : x^\alpha \mapsto {x'}^{\tau(\alpha)}, \ \partial_q \mapsto \phi(\partial_q), \quad \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma, \, q \in \overline{1, \ell}, \\ (x^{1_{[1]}}, \cdots, x^{1_{[\ell_1]}}) \mapsto ({x'}^{1_{[1]}}, \cdots, {x'}^{1_{[\ell_1]}})(M^{\rm t})^{-1}, \end{gathered} \tag{21}$$

where $M^{\rm t}$ is the transpose of M. It is straightforward to verify that

$$\sigma(\partial_p)(\sigma(x^{1_{[q]}})) = \delta_{p,q} = \partial_p(x^{1_{[q]}}) \text{ and } \sigma(\partial_p)(\sigma(x^\alpha)) = \sigma(\partial_p(x^\alpha)). \tag{22}$$

Since the associative algebra $A[D]$ is generated by the elements appeared in (21), thus (21) uniquely extends to an associative isomorphism $\sigma : A[D] \cong A'[D']$. Thus they are also isomorphic as Lie algebras.

"$\Rightarrow$": If $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}'$ are isomorphic as associative algebras, then they must be isomorphic as Lie algebras, so we suppose they are isomorphic as Lie algebras.

Let $\partial \in D$. Since ∂ is locally finite on A, ad∂ is locally finite on $\mathcal{W}$, and thus ad$\sigma(\partial)$ is locally finite on $\mathcal{W}'$, by Lemma 2.2, $\sigma(\partial) \in A' + D'$. Thus we can write $\sigma(\partial) = \phi(\partial) + a_\partial$ with $\phi(\partial) \in D', a_\partial \in A'$ such that $\phi : D \to D'$ is a linear map. Since each $\partial \neq 0$ is not ad-locally nilpotent, by Lemma 2.2 again, we see that ϕ is injective.

Similarly, by exchanging position of $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}'$, there exists a linear injection $\phi' : D' \to D$ such that for all $\partial' \in D'$, there exists $b_{\partial'} \in A$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(\partial') = \phi'(\partial') + b_{\partial'}$. Then

$$\partial' = \sigma(\sigma^{-1}(\partial')) = \sigma(\phi'(\partial') + b_{\partial'}) = \sigma(\phi'(\partial')) + \sigma(b_{\partial'}) = \phi(\phi'(\partial')) + a_{\phi'(\partial')} + \sigma(b_{\partial'}). \tag{23}$$

Note that $b_{\partial'} \in A$ is ad-locally nilpotent, and so $\sigma(b_{\partial'})$ is ad-locally nilpotent, thus by Lemma 2.2, $\sigma(b_{\partial'}) \in A'$. Hence by (23), we have $\phi(\phi'(\partial')) = \partial'$. Thus both ϕ and ϕ' are linear isomorphism, and so $\ell = \ell'$.

For any $\partial \in D_2$, suppose $\phi(\partial) \notin D'_2$, say $\phi(\partial) = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell'} a_p \partial'_p$ with $a_p \neq 0$ for some $p \leq \ell'_1$. Then

$$[\sigma(\partial), {x'}^{1_{[p]}}] = [\phi(\partial) + a_\partial, {x'}^{1_{[p]}}] = a_p \neq 0 \text{ but } (\mathrm{ad}\sigma(\partial))^2({x'}^{1_{[p]}}) = 0. \tag{24}$$

This contradicts that $\sigma(\partial)$ is ad-semi-simple. This proves that $\phi(D_2) \subset D'_2$ and so $\ell_2 \leq \ell'_2$. Similarly, $\ell'_2 \leq \ell_2$ and so $\ell_2 = \ell'_2$ and $\phi(D_2) = D'_2$. This proves the first equation of (18), and so $J'_1 = J_1, J' = J$.

For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\partial' = \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} a_p \partial'_p \in D'$. Let $\partial = \phi^{-1}(\partial')$ and write $\sigma^{-1}(\partial') = \partial + b_{\partial'}$ for some $b_{\partial'} \in A$. Since $\sigma(x^\alpha)$ is ad-locally nilpotent, by Lemma 2.2, we have $\sigma(x^\alpha) \in A'$. Because the vector space on which $A + D$ semisimply acts is span$\{x^\alpha | \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, and because $\sigma(A + D) = A + D$, we have $\sigma(x^\alpha) \in$span$\{x^\alpha | \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. So we can suppose

$$\sigma(x^\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha' \in M_\alpha} c_{\alpha'} {x'}^{\alpha'}, \tag{25}$$

where M_α is a finite subset of Γ' such that $0 \neq c_{\alpha'} \in I\!\!F$ for all $\alpha' \in M_\alpha$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial', \sigma(x^\alpha)] &= \sum_{\alpha' \in M_\alpha} c_{\alpha'} \langle \partial', \alpha' \rangle x^{\alpha'} \\ &= \sigma([\partial + b_{\partial'}, x^\alpha]) \\ &= \langle \partial, \alpha \rangle \sigma(x^\alpha) \\ &= \langle \partial, \alpha \rangle \sum_{\alpha' \in M_\alpha} c_{\alpha'} {x'}^{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Since $\partial' \in D'$ is arbitrary, then M_α is a singleton. Thus there exist a unique $a' \in \Gamma'$ such that

$$\sigma(x^\alpha) = c_\alpha {x'}^{\alpha'}, \tag{27}$$

where $c_\alpha = c_{\alpha',0} \in I\!\!F \backslash \{0\}$. Thus (27) defines a map

$$\tau : \Gamma \to \Gamma' \text{ such that } \tau(\alpha) = \alpha' \text{ and } \tau(0) = 0, \tag{28}$$

such that by (26), we have

$$\langle \partial, \alpha \rangle = \langle \phi(\partial), \tau(\alpha) \rangle, \ \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{29}$$

Then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \partial \in D$, by (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(\partial), \tau(\alpha + \beta) \rangle &= \langle \partial, \alpha + \beta \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial, \alpha \rangle + \langle \partial, \beta \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi(\partial), \tau(\alpha) \rangle + \langle \phi(\partial), \tau(\beta) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi(\partial), \tau(\alpha) + \tau(\beta) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Since $\phi(D) = D'$, (30) shows that

$$\tau(\alpha + \beta) = \tau(\alpha) + \tau(\beta), \ \ \forall\, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \tag{31}$$

i.e., τ is a group homomorphism. If $\tau(\alpha)=0$, then by (27), $\alpha=0$, i.e., τ is injective. By (27), we see that τ must be surjective, i.e., τ is a group isomorphism. ∎

Next we shall determine the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},\cdot)$ of the associative algebra $(\mathcal{W},\cdot)$ and the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])$ of the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])$. It is clear that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},\cdot)\subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])$. We shall simply denote the former group by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W})$. Set $A_1=\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]=\mathrm{span}\{x^\alpha\,|\,\alpha\in\Gamma\}$, the group algebra of Γ. Set $\mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_2}=\{0\}\times\mathbb{F}^{\ell_2}$, the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^\ell$ of dimension ℓ_2. First, denote the linear automorphism group of Γ by

$$\mathrm{Aut}_L(\Gamma)=\{G\in GL_\ell(\mathbb{F})\,|\,\Gamma G=\Gamma\},\ \text{where } \Gamma G=\{\alpha G\,|\,\alpha\in\Gamma\}. \tag{32}$$

(cf. (20).) Take

$$\mathrm{Aut}_2(\Gamma)=\{G=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}M&0\\P&Q\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in\mathrm{Aut}_L(\Gamma)\,|\,\Gamma G=\Gamma\}, \tag{33}$$

where $M\in GL_{l_1}(F)$ and so on. Let $\tau\in\mathrm{Aut}(A_1)$ be an automorphism of A_1. Since τ maps invertible elements to invertible elements, and

$$(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma}\mathbb{F}x^\alpha)\backslash\{0\}=\ \text{the set of invertible elements in } A_1, \tag{34}$$

thus τ determines a unique group automorphism $\tau^*\in\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and a multiplicative function $f_\tau:\Gamma\to\mathbb{F}^*$, i.e., $f_\tau(\alpha+\beta)=f_\tau(\alpha)f_\tau(\beta)$, such that $\tau(x^\alpha)=f_\tau(\alpha)x^{\tau^*(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha\in\Gamma$. So $\mathrm{Aut}(A_1)=\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)\otimes\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{F}^*)$, where $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{F}^*)$ is the group of multiplicative functions $f_\tau:\Gamma\to\mathbb{F}^*$. Set

$$\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)=\mathrm{Aut}_2(\Gamma)\otimes\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{F}^*). \tag{35}$$

Thus elements in $\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)$ can be written as $\tau=(G,f)$, where $G=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}M&0\\P&Q\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. And in this notation we have $\tau(x^\alpha)=f(\alpha)x^{\tau^*(\alpha)}=f(\alpha)x^{\alpha G^{-1}}$. For any $\tau=(G,f)\in\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)$, define $\phi_\tau:D\to D$ such that

$$\phi_\tau(\partial_1,\cdots,\partial_\ell)=(\partial_1,\cdots,\partial_\ell)G. \tag{36}$$

Then

$$\langle\phi_\tau(\partial),\tau^*(\alpha)\rangle=\langle\partial,\alpha\rangle,\quad\forall\,\alpha\in\Gamma,\,\partial\in D. \tag{37}$$

As in (21), the map

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\tau: x^\alpha\mapsto f_\tau(\alpha)x^{\tau^*(\alpha)},\ (\partial_1,\cdots,\partial_\ell)\mapsto(\partial_1,\cdots,\partial_\ell)G,\ \forall\,\alpha\in\Gamma,\\ (x^{1_{[1]}},\cdots,x^{1_{[\ell_1]}})\mapsto(x^{1_{[1]}},\cdots,x^{1_{[\ell_1]}})(M^t)^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

defines a unique $\sigma_\tau\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W})$. Obviously, $\sigma_\tau\sigma_{\tau'}=\sigma_{\tau\tau'}$ and we obtain an group homomorphism $\pi:\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\pi(\tau)=\sigma_\tau$. Clearly π is injective.

Next, for any $u\in A$, since u is ad-locally nilpotent, $\sigma_u=\exp(\mathrm{ad}u)$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{W}$. Regarding A as an additive group, then $\pi':A\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W})$ with $\pi'(u)=\sigma_u$ is a group homomorphism such that $\ker\pi'=\mathbb{F}$. It is straightforward to verify that $\delta\sigma_u\delta^{-1}=\sigma_{\delta(u)}$ for all $\delta\in Aut(\mathcal{W})$ and $u\in A$.

Third, for any $v=(v_1,\cdots,v_\ell)\in\mathbb{F}^\ell$, let $v^{(1)}=(v_1,\cdots,v_{\ell_1})\in\mathbb{F}^{\ell_1}, v^{(2)}=(0,\cdots,0,v_{\ell_1+1},\cdots,v_\ell)\in\mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_2}$. the linear map

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_v: x^\alpha\mapsto x^\alpha,\ (\partial_1,\cdots,\partial_\ell)\mapsto(\partial_1,\cdots,\partial_\ell)+v^{(2)},\ \forall\,\alpha\in\Gamma,\\ (x^{1_{[1]}},\cdots,x^{1_{[\ell_1]}})\mapsto(x^{1_{[1]}},\cdots,x^{1_{[\ell_1]}})+v^{(1)},\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

can be uniquely extended to be an automorphism of $\mathcal{W}$. Thus the map $\mathbb{F}^\ell\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}): v\mapsto\sigma_v$ is an injective group homomorphism.

For any $\tau=(G,f)\in\mathrm{Aut}(A_1)$, where $G=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}M&0\\P&Q\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and for any $v=(v_1,\cdots,v_\ell)\in\mathbb{F}^\ell$, set

$$\tau[v]=(v_{\ell_1+1},\cdots,v_\ell)P\begin{pmatrix}x^{1_{[1]}}\\\cdots\\x^{1_{[\ell_1]}}\end{pmatrix}\in A,$$

$$\tau(v)=v\left(\begin{smallmatrix}(M^{\,t})^{-1}&0\\0&Q\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in\mathbb{F}^\ell.$$

It is not difficult to verify (only need on generators: $D, x^\alpha, x^{1_{[p]}}$) that

$$\sigma_\tau^{-1}\sigma_v\sigma_\tau=\sigma_{\tau[v]}\sigma_{\tau(v)}.\tag{40}$$

For any $\tau,\tau'\in\mathrm{Aut}(A_1)$, $u,u'\in A$, $v,v'\in\mathbb{F}^\ell$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_\tau\sigma_u\sigma_v)(\sigma_{\tau'}\sigma_{u'}\sigma_{v'})&=\sigma_\tau\sigma_u\sigma_{\sigma_v\sigma_{\tau'}(u')}\sigma_v\sigma_{\tau'}\sigma_{v'}\\&=\sigma_\tau\sigma_{u+\sigma_v\sigma_{\tau'}(u')}\sigma_{\tau'}\sigma_{\tau'}^{-1}\sigma_v\sigma_{\tau'}\sigma_{v'}\\&=\sigma_\tau\sigma_{u+\sigma_v\sigma_{\tau'}(u')}\sigma_{\tau'}\sigma_{\tau'[v]}\sigma_{\tau'(v)+v'}\\&=\sigma_{\tau\tau'}\sigma_{\tau'^{-1}(u)+\tau'^{-1}\sigma_v\sigma_{\tau'}(u')}\sigma_{\tau'(v)+v'}.\end{aligned}\tag{41}$$

Thus $E=\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)\mathrm{exp}(A/\mathbb{F})\sigma_{\mathbb{F}^\ell}$ forms a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(W)$. Note that $\mathrm{exp}(A/\mathbb{F})\sigma_{\mathbb{F}^\ell}$ is a normal subgroup of E.

If we define a group structure on the cross set $\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)\times(A/\mathbb{F})\times\mathbb{F}^\ell$ by

$$(\tau',u',v')\cdot(\tau,u,v)=(\tau'\tau,\sigma_\tau^{-1}(u')+\sigma_{\tau'}^{-1}\sigma_{v'}\sigma_{\tau'}(u)+\tau[v'],\tau(v')+v),$$

then this group is isomorphic to E. It is not difficult to verify that the following injection is a group homomorphism

$$\pi:\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)\ltimes((A/\mathbb{F})\times\mathbb{F}^\ell)\to\mathrm{Aut}W,\ \ (\tau,u,v)\mapsto\sigma_\tau\sigma_u\sigma_v.$$

We identify the image of $\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)\ltimes(A/\mathbb{F}\oplus\mathbb{F}^\ell)$ under π with E.

Lemma 2.2. The following linear map σ_1 is an automorphism of $(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])$:

$$\sigma_1: x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\partial^\mu\mapsto-(-\partial)^\mu\cdot x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},\ \ \forall\,(\alpha,\vec{i},\mu)\in\Gamma\times J_1\times J,\tag{42}$$

where $(-\partial)^\mu=\prod_{p=1}^{\ell}(-\partial_p)^{\mu_p}$ and $(-\partial)^\mu\cdot x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ is the product of $(-\partial)^\mu$ and $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$.

Proof. To verify that (42) defines an automorphism, we need the following combinatorial identity which is not difficult to prove

$$\sum_{\lambda'\leq\mu}(-1)^{|\lambda'|}\binom{\mu}{\nu-\lambda'}\binom{\mu+\lambda'-\nu}{\lambda'}=\delta_{\nu,0},\ \forall\,\mu,\nu\in J.$$

Using the above identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda\leq\mu}\binom{\mu}{\lambda}(-\partial)^{\mu-\lambda}\cdot\partial^{\lambda}(x^{\beta,\vec{j}}) &= \sum_{\lambda,\,\lambda'\leq\mu}\binom{\mu}{\lambda}\binom{\mu-\lambda}{\lambda'}(-1)^{|\lambda'|}\partial^{\lambda+\lambda'}(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})(-\partial)^{\mu-\lambda-\lambda'}\\ &= \sum_{\nu,\,\lambda'\leq\mu}(-1)^{|\lambda'|}\binom{\mu}{\nu-\lambda'}\binom{\mu+\lambda'-\nu}{\lambda'}\partial^{\nu}(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})(-\partial)^{\mu-\nu} \qquad (43)\\ &= x^{\beta,\vec{j}}(-\partial)^{\mu},\ \forall\,\beta\in\Gamma,\mu\in J,\vec{j}\in J_1.\end{aligned}$$

Using (43) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1([x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\partial^{\mu},x^{\beta,\vec{j}}\partial^{\nu}]) =&\,\sigma_1(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\partial^{\mu}x^{\beta,\vec{j}}\partial^{\nu}-x^{\beta,\vec{j}}\partial^{\nu}x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\partial^{\mu})\\ =&\,\sigma_1(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\sum_{\lambda\leq\mu}\binom{\mu}{\lambda}\partial^{\lambda}(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})\partial^{\mu+\nu-\lambda}-x^{\beta,\vec{j}}\sum_{\lambda\leq\nu}\binom{\nu}{\lambda}\partial^{\lambda}(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})\partial^{\mu+\nu-\lambda})\\ =&-\sum_{\lambda\leq\mu}\binom{\mu}{\lambda}(-\partial)^{\mu+\nu-\lambda}\cdot x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\partial^{\lambda}(x^{\beta,\vec{j}})+\sum_{\lambda\leq\nu}\binom{\nu}{\lambda}(-\partial)^{\mu+\nu-\lambda}\cdot x^{\beta,\vec{j}}\partial^{\lambda}(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}})\\ =&-(-\partial)^{\nu}(\sum_{\lambda\leq\mu}\binom{\mu}{\lambda}(-\partial)^{\mu-\lambda}\cdot\partial^{\lambda}(x^{\beta,\vec{j}}))x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}+(-\partial)^{\mu}(\sum_{\lambda\leq\nu}\binom{\nu}{\lambda}(-\partial)^{\nu-\lambda}\cdot\partial^{\lambda}(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}))x^{\beta,\vec{j}}\\ =&\,(-\partial)^{\mu}\cdot x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}(-\partial)^{\nu}\cdot x^{\beta,\vec{j}}-(-\partial)^{\nu}\cdot x^{\beta,\vec{j}}(-\partial)^{\mu}\cdot x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\\ =&\,[-(-\partial)^{\mu}\cdot x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},-(-\partial)^{\nu}\cdot x^{\beta,\vec{j}}]\\ =&\,[\sigma_1(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\partial^{\mu}),\sigma_1(x^{\beta,\vec{j}}\partial^{\nu})].\end{aligned}$$

Thus σ_1 is an automorphism of $(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])$. ∎

Clearly σ_1 has order 2, i.e., $\sigma_1^2=1$, and σ_1 is not an automorphism of $(\mathcal{W},\cdot)$.

Theorem 2.3. $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W})\cong W$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])\cong W\ltimes\langle\sigma_1\rangle$.

Proof. We first show that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])\cong W\ltimes\langle\sigma_1\rangle$, i.e., $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])$ is generated by W and σ_1.

Let σ be an automorphism of $(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot])$. From the proof of Theorem 2.1, there exist $\tau\in\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $\phi:D\to D$ with $\phi=\phi_\tau$ and $\tau\in\mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)$ (by (35), we regard $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(A_1)$) such that $\sigma(x^\alpha)\in\mathbb{F}x^{\tau(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha\in\Gamma$, $\sigma(\partial)\equiv\partial \bmod A$ for all $\partial\in D$. Replacing σ by $\sigma_\tau^{-1}\sigma$, we see that for any $\partial\in D,\alpha\in\Gamma$, there exist $a_\partial\in A$ and $c_\alpha\in\mathbb{F}\backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$\sigma(\partial)=\partial+a_\partial \text{ and } \sigma(x^\alpha)=c_\alpha x^\alpha. \tag{44}$$

For $p\in\overline{1,\ell}$, denote $w_p=a_{\partial_p}\in A$. Then by (44), for $p,q\in\overline{1,\ell}$, we have

$$0=\sigma([\partial_p,\partial_q])=[\partial_p+w_p,\partial_q+w_q]=\partial_p(w_q)-\partial_q(w_p). \tag{45}$$

Claim 1. By replacing σ with $\sigma'\sigma$ for some $\sigma' \in W$, we can suppose $w_p = 0$, $p = 1, \cdots, \ell$.

Using induction, suppose that for $q > 0$, we have proved that $w_p = 0$ for all $p < q$. Write

$$w_q = \sum_{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in M_q} c^{(q)}_{\alpha,\vec{i}} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},\ w'_q = \sum_{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in M'_q} c^{(q)}_{\alpha,\vec{i}} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}},\ w''_q = \sum_{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in M''_q} c^{(q)}_{\alpha,\vec{i}} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}, \tag{46}$$

where $M_q = \{(\alpha,\vec{i}) \in \Gamma \times J_1 \,|\, c^{(q)}_{\alpha,\vec{i}} \neq 0\}$ is finite, and $M'_q = \{(\alpha,\vec{i}) \in M_q \,|\, \alpha_q \neq 0\}$, $M''_q = M_q \backslash M'_q$. For any $x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}$ with $\alpha_q \neq 0$, we have $\alpha_q^{-1}\partial_q x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} - x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} = \alpha_q^{-1} i_q x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[q]}}$. Using induction on i_q, one sees that there exists $u'_q \in A$ such that $\partial_q(u'_q) = w'_q$. By (45), $\partial_p(w'_q) = 0, p < q$, thus we can deduce $\partial_p(u'_q) = 0$ for $p < q$. Then by replacing σ by $\sigma_{u'_q}\sigma$, we still have $w_p = 0$ for $p < q$, but then w'_q vanishes. Suppose $w''_q \neq 0$. If $q \leq \ell_1$, then we can choose $u''_q = \sum_{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in M''_q} c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}(i_q + 1)^{-1} x^{\alpha,\vec{i}+1_{[q]}}$. It follows that $\partial_q(u''_q) = w''_q$ and so by replacing σ by $\sigma_{u''_q}\sigma$, w''_q vanishes also. Thus assume that $q > \ell_1$. If $w''_q \notin \mathbb{F}$, then there exists some $\partial' \in D$ such that $[w''_q, \partial'] \neq 0$, so we have

$$[\partial_q + w''_q, \partial'] = -\partial'(w''_q) \neq 0,\ [\partial_q + w''_q, [\partial_q + w''_q, \partial']] = -\sum_{(\alpha,\vec{i})\in M''_q} c_{\alpha,\vec{i}}\alpha_q \partial'(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = 0, \tag{47}$$

a contradiction with the fact that $\sigma(\partial_q) = \partial_q + w''_q$ is ad-semi-simple. Thus $w''_q \in \mathbb{F}$. So, replacing σ by some $\sigma_v\sigma$, we can suppose $w''_q = 0$. This complete the proof of the inductive step, and thus the claim follows.

From Claim 1 we see that (44) becomes

$$\sigma(\partial) = \partial,\ \text{and } \sigma(x^\alpha) = c_\alpha x^\alpha, \tag{44$'$}$$

for any $\partial \in D, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Suppose

$$\sigma(x^\alpha\partial) = \sum c_{\beta,\vec{i},\mu} x^{\beta,\vec{i}} \partial^\mu. \tag{48}$$

If $c_{\beta,\vec{i},\mu} \neq 0$ for some $\beta, \vec{i}, \mu$ with $|\mu| \geq 2$. Then we can choose some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, such that $[\partial^\mu, x^\gamma] \notin A$. Then by applying $\operatorname{ad}\sigma(x^\gamma)$ to (48) we obtain that $\langle\partial,\gamma\rangle\sigma(x^{\alpha+\gamma}) \notin A$, a contradiction with (44). Thus $|\mu| \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\partial,\gamma\rangle c_{\alpha+\gamma} x^{\alpha+\gamma} &= \langle\partial,\gamma\rangle\sigma(x^{\alpha+\gamma}) \\
&= \sigma([x^\alpha\partial, x^\gamma]) \\
&= \sum_{|\mu|=1} c_{\beta,\vec{i},\mu} x^{\beta,\vec{i}} [\partial^\mu, x^\gamma] \\
&= \sum_{|\mu|=1} c_{\beta,\vec{i},\mu} \langle\partial^\mu,\gamma\rangle x^{\beta+\gamma,\vec{i}},
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

holds for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. For any $(\beta, \vec{i}, \mu)$ with $c_{\beta,\vec{i},\mu} \neq 0$, by choosing γ with $\langle \partial^{\mu}, \gamma \rangle \neq 0$ and comparing both sides of (49), we see that $(\beta, \vec{i}, \mu) = (\alpha, 0, \mu)$. Thus we can rewrite (48) as

$$\sigma(x^{\alpha}\partial) = a_{\alpha,\partial} + x^{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha}(\partial), \text{ where } a_{\alpha,\partial} \in A,\ \theta_{\alpha}(\partial) \in D, \tag{50}$$

such that $\theta_{\alpha} : D \to D$ is a linear injection. Applying $\mathrm{ad}\partial_p = \mathrm{ad}\sigma(\partial_p)$ to (50), we obtain that $\partial_p(a_{\alpha,\partial}) = \alpha_p a_{\alpha,\partial}$ for all $p \in \overline{1,\ell}$. Thus

$$a_{\alpha,\partial} = c_{\alpha,\partial}x^{\alpha},\ \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{51}$$

Applying $\mathrm{ad}\sigma(x^{\beta})$ to (50), using (44), we obtain

$$\langle \theta_{\alpha}(\partial), \beta \rangle c_{\beta} = \langle \partial, \beta \rangle c_{\alpha+\beta},\ \forall\, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma,\ \partial \in D. \tag{52}$$

This gives $\langle \theta_{\alpha}(\partial), \beta \rangle = \langle \partial, \beta \rangle c_{\alpha+\beta}c_{\beta}^{-1}$. Replacing β by $-\beta$ in this expression, and adding the two expressions, we obtain that $\langle \partial, (c_{\alpha+\beta}c_{\beta}^{-1} - c_{\alpha-\beta}c_{-\beta}^{-1})\beta \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \partial \in D$. Thus we obtain

$$c_{\alpha+\beta} = c_{\alpha-\beta}c_{\beta}c_{-\beta}^{-1}, \tag{53}$$

holds for all $\beta \neq 0$, but if $\beta = 0$, (53) holds trivially. Replacing α by $\alpha - \beta$, we obtain

$$c_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha-2\beta}c_{\beta}c_{-\beta}^{-1} = c_{\alpha+2\beta}c_{-\beta}c_{\beta}^{-1},\ \forall\, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \tag{54}$$

where the last equality follows from the second by replacing β by $-\beta$. Replacing α by γ and multiplying the two expressions, and then setting $\gamma = 2\beta$, we obtain

$$c_{\alpha}c_{2\beta} = c_0 c_{\alpha+2\beta},\quad \forall\, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{55}$$

Now in (52), replacing β by 2β, using (55), we obtain

$$\langle \theta_{\alpha}(\partial) - c_0^{-1}c_{\alpha}\partial, \beta \rangle = 0,\ \forall\, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma,\ \partial \in D. \tag{56}$$

This proved that $\theta_{\alpha}(\partial) = c_0^{-1}c_{\alpha}\partial$. Using this in (52), whenever $\beta \neq 0$, we can choose ∂ with $\langle \partial, \beta \rangle \neq 0$, so that we obtain

$$c_{\alpha}c_{\beta} = c_0 c_{\alpha+\beta},\quad \forall\, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{57}$$

Define $f : \alpha \mapsto c_0^{-1}c_{\alpha}$, then (57) shows that $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}^*) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_2(A_1)$. Thus by replacing σ by $\sigma_f^{-1}\sigma$, we can suppose $c_{\alpha} = c_0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and then (56) gives $\theta_{\alpha}(\partial) = \partial$. So (50) becomes

$$\sigma(x^{\alpha}\partial) = c_{\alpha,\partial}x^{\alpha} + x^{\alpha}\partial,\ \ \sigma(x^{\alpha}) = c_0 x^{\alpha}. \tag{50$'$}$$

For any $\partial \in D$, by taking bracket of $\sigma(\partial')$ for $\partial' \in D$ with $\sigma(\partial^2)$, we see that $\sigma(\partial^2) \in \mathbb{F}[D]$. By taking bracket of $\sigma(x^{\alpha})$ with it, we obtain that $\sigma(\partial^2)$ must take the form

$$\sigma(\partial^2) = b_{\partial} + b'_{\partial}\partial + b''_{\partial}\partial^2,\quad b_{\partial}, b'_{\partial}, b''_{\partial} \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{58}$$

Again, taking bracket of $\sigma(x^{\alpha})$ with (58), we obtain

$$b''_{\partial} = c_0^{-1},\quad 2c_{\alpha,\partial} = c_0 b'_{\partial} + (1 - c_0)\langle \partial, \alpha \rangle \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ with } \langle \partial, \alpha \rangle \neq 0. \tag{59}$$

By calculating the constant term of $\sigma([x^{-\alpha}\partial, x^\alpha\partial])$, we have $c_{-\alpha,\partial} = -c_{\alpha,\partial}$ if $\langle\partial,\alpha\rangle \neq 0$. Using this in (59), we obtain that $b'_\partial = 0$ for all $\partial \in D$. Taking bracket of $\sigma(x^\alpha\partial)$ with (58) and making use of (59), we obtain that

$$2\langle\partial,\alpha\rangle\sigma(x^\alpha\partial^2) + \langle\partial,\alpha\rangle^2\sigma(x^\alpha\partial) = c_0^{-1}(2\langle\partial,\alpha\rangle x^\alpha\partial(\partial + c_{\alpha,\partial}) + \langle\partial,\alpha\rangle^2 x^\alpha(\partial + c_{\alpha,\partial})),$$

thus

$$\sigma(x^\alpha\partial^2) = c_0^{-1}x^\alpha(\partial + c_{\alpha,\partial})^2 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ with } \langle\partial,\alpha\rangle \neq 0. \tag{60}$$

Then taking bracket of $\sigma(x^{-\alpha}\partial)$ with (60), we obtain that

$$3\langle\partial,\alpha\rangle(c_0^{-1}\partial^2 + b_\partial) - \langle\partial,\alpha\rangle^2\partial = c_0^{-1}\langle\partial,\alpha\rangle(3\partial^2 + (4c_{\alpha,\partial} + 2c_{-\alpha,\partial} - \langle\partial,\alpha\rangle)\partial + c_{\alpha,\partial}^2 + 2c_{\alpha,\partial}c_{-\alpha,\partial} - \langle\partial,\alpha\rangle c_{-\alpha,\partial}),$$

thus by (59), we obtain

$$12c_0b_\partial = (1 - c_0^2)\langle\partial,\alpha\rangle^2 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ with } \langle\partial,\alpha\rangle \neq 0. \tag{61}$$

This shows that $b_\partial = 0$ and $c_0 = \pm 1$. If necessary, by replacing σ by $\sigma_1\sigma$, we can suppose $c_0 = 1$. Then (59) gives $c_{\alpha,\partial} = 0$. Thus

$$\sigma(x^\alpha\partial) = x^\alpha\partial, \;\; \sigma(x^\alpha) = x^\alpha, \tag{50''}$$

$$\sigma(\partial) = \partial, \;\; \sigma(\partial^2) = \partial^2. \tag{58'}$$

For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$. By Lemma 2.2, $\sigma(x^{\alpha,1_{[p]}}) \in A$ for $p \in \overline{1,\ell_1}$. Suppose $\sigma(x^{\alpha,1_{[p]}}) = \sum_{(\beta,\vec{i})\in M_0} b_{\beta,\vec{i}}x^{\beta,\vec{i}}$ with $M_0 = \{(\beta,\vec{i}) \mid b_{\beta,\vec{i}} \neq 0\}$. Taking bracket of $\sigma(\partial_q), q \in \overline{1,\ell}$ with it, we obtain

$$\sum_{(\beta,\vec{i})\in M_0} b_{\beta,\vec{i}}((\beta_q - \alpha_q)x^{\beta,\vec{i}} + i_q x^{\beta,\vec{i}-1_{[q]}}) - \delta_{p,q}x^\alpha = 0. \tag{62}$$

If some $(\beta,\vec{i}) \in M_0$ with $\beta \neq \alpha$, we can always choose q with $\beta_q \neq \alpha_q$ and so (62) can not hold. Similarly, if $(\alpha,\vec{i}) \in M_0$ with $\vec{i} \neq 0, 1_{[p]}$, using induction on $|\vec{i}|$, we see that (62) can not hold. Thus $M_0 \subseteq \{(\alpha,0),(\alpha,1_{[p]})\}$, i.e., we can write

$$\sigma(x^{\alpha,1_{[p]}}) = b_{\alpha,p}x^{\alpha,1_{[p]}} + b'_{\alpha,p}x^\alpha, \tag{63}$$

and (62) then gives $b_{\alpha,p} = 1$. Taking bracket of $\sigma(x^\beta\partial_q)$ with (63), we obtain that $b'_p = b'_{\alpha,p}$ does not depend on α. Take $v = -(b'_1, \cdots, b'_{\ell_1}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\ell_1}$, and by replacing σ by $\sigma_v\sigma$, we can then suppose that $b'_p = 0, p \in \overline{1,\ell_1}$. Then we see that σ fixes all the elements in the set $\{x^\beta, x^\beta\partial, x^\beta\partial^2, x^{\alpha,1_{[p]}} \mid \beta \in \Gamma, \partial \in D, p \in \overline{1,\ell_1}\}$. But $\mathcal{W}$ is generated by this set as a Lie algebra. Thus $\sigma = 1$, therefore $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot]) \cong W \ltimes \langle\sigma_1\rangle$.

The result $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}) \cong W$ follows directly from $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W},[\cdot,\cdot]) \cong W \ltimes \langle\sigma_1\rangle$. ∎

Finally, we remark that Theorems 2.1, 2.3 can be generalized in following aspect.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic zero (not necessarily algebraically closed). Let I_1, I be any two indexing sets such that $I_1 \subseteq I$. Let $\mathbb{F}^{I_1} = \oplus_{p\in I_1}\mathbb{F}$ be the direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{F}$ indexed by I_1. Let Γ be a nondegenerate subgroup of $\oplus_{p\in I}\mathbb{F}$, the direct sum of copies of

$\mathbb{F}$ indexed by I. Let $J_1 = \oplus_{p\in I_1}\mathbb{Z}_+$, the direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_+$ indexed by I_1, and let $J = \oplus_{p\in I}\mathbb{Z}_+$. Element in Γ and J are written as

$$\alpha = (\alpha_p \,|\, p \in I) \in \Gamma, \quad \vec{i} = (i_p \,|\, p \in I) \in J, \tag{64}$$

where all but a finite of $\alpha_p = 0$ and all but a finite of $i_p = 0$ and $i_p = 0$ for all $p \in I\backslash I_1$. Let A be semi-group algebra generated by $\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} \,|\, \alpha \in \Gamma, \vec{i} \in J_1\}$, and let $A_1 = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha} \,|\, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. Let D be a subspace of derivations of A spanned by $\{\partial_p \,|\, p \in I\}$, where

$$\partial_p(x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}) = \alpha_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}} + i_p x^{\alpha,\vec{i}-1_{[p]}}, \quad \forall\, \alpha \in \Gamma,\, \vec{i} \in J_1,\, p \in I. \tag{65}$$

Set D_2 the subspace of D spanned by $\{\partial_q \,|\, q \in I\backslash I_1\}$.

Then we can define as before the (associative or Lie) algebra

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(I_1, I, \Gamma) = A[D] = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha,\vec{i}}\partial^{\mu} \,|\, (\alpha, \vec{i}, \mu) \in \Gamma \times J_1 \times J\} \tag{66}$$

and we have

Theorem 2.4. Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(I_1, I, \Gamma)$, $\mathcal{W}' = \mathcal{W}(I_1', I', \Gamma')$ be two (associative or Lie) algebras of Weyl type. Then there exists an (associative or Lie) isomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{W} \cong \mathcal{W}'$ if and only if there exist a group isomorphism $\tau : \Gamma \cong \Gamma'$ and a space linear isomorphism $\phi : D \to D'$ such that $\phi(D_2) = D_2'$ and $\langle \alpha, \partial \rangle = \langle \tau(\alpha), \phi(\partial) \rangle$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\partial \in D$. Furthermore $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{W}(I_1, I, \Gamma)) \cong W = \text{Aut}_2(A_1) \ltimes \exp(A/\mathbb{F})\sigma_{\mathbb{F}^I}$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{W}(I_1, I, \Gamma), [\cdot,\cdot]) \cong W \ltimes \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$. ∎

References

[**1**] Kawamoto N. Generalizations of Witt algebras over a field of characteristic zero. Hiroshima Math. J., 1985, 16: 417-462

[**2**] Osborn J M. New simple infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of characteristic 0. J. Alg., 1996, 185: 820-835

[**3**] Dokovic D Z, Zhao K. Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Witt algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1998, 350(2): 643-664

[**4**] Dokovic D Z, Zhao K. Generalized Cartan type W Lie algebras in characteristic zero. J. Alg., 1997, 195: 170-210

[**5**] Dokovic D Z, Zhao K. Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Block algebras. Alg. Colloq., 1996, 3(3): 245-272

[**6**] Osborn J M, Zhao K. Generalized Poisson bracket and Lie algebras of type H in characteristic 0. Math. Z., 1999, 230: 107-143

[**7**] Osborn J M, Zhao K. Generalized Cartan type K Lie algebras in characteristic 0, Comm. Alg., 1997, 25: 3325-3360

[**8**] Zhao K. Isomorphisms between generalized Cartan type W Lie algebras in characteristic zero. Canadian J. Math., 1998, 50: 210-224

[**9**] Passman D P. Simple Lie algebras of Witt type. J. Alg., 1998, 206: 682-692

[**10**] Jordan D A. On the simplicity of Lie algebras of derivations of commutative algebras. J. Alg., 2000, 228: 580-585

[**11**] Xu X. New generalized simple Lie algebras of Cartan type over a field with characteristic 0. J. Alg., 2000, 224: 23-58

[**12**] Su Y, Xu X, Zhang H. Derivation-simple algebras and the structures of Lie algebras of Witt type. J. Alg. in press.

[**13**] Su Y, Zhao K. Simple algebras of Weyl type. Science in China, to appear.

[**14**] Zhao K. Automorphisms of algebras of differential operators. J. of Capital Normal University, 1994, 1: 1-8

[**15**] Zhao K. Lie algebra of derivations of algebras of differential operators. Chinese Science Bulletin, 1993, 38(10): 793-798